



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and neuropsychological outcome following subarachnoid haemorrhage

Citation for published version:

Morris, PG, Wilson, JTL, Dunn, LT & Nicoll, JAR 2004, 'Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and neuropsychological outcome following subarachnoid haemorrhage', *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 205-9. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0404.2003.00206.x>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1034/j.1600-0404.2003.00206.x](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0404.2003.00206.x)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Acta Neurologica Scandinavica

Publisher Rights Statement:

Published by Acta Neurologica Scandinavica
<http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1034/j.1600-0404.2003.00206.x/full>

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Apolipoprotein E Polymorphism and Neuropsychological Outcome following Subarachnoid Haemorrhage

Abstract

Objectives - To investigate the association between *APOE* genotype and cognitive and emotional outcome following spontaneous subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH).

Materials and Methods - Neuropsychological assessments were conducted with 70 SAH survivors derived from a consecutive series of neurosurgical admissions. Outcomes, including cognitive tests, health questionnaires and Glasgow Outcome Scale at a mean of 16 months after SAH, were compared with presence or absence of the $\epsilon 4$ allele.

Results – There was no evidence that SAH survivors possessing the $\epsilon 4$ allele had poorer outcome. The only suggestion of an association between the $\epsilon 4$ allele and outcome was in a subgroup of patients with a Fisher Grade 4 haemorrhage, though this trend did not reach statistical significance.

Conclusions – Overall, possession of the *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele is not significantly associated with neuropsychological outcome following subarachnoid haemorrhage. However there may be an effect amongst those with a Fisher Grade 4 haemorrhage.

APOE Polymorphism and Subarachnoid Haemorrhage Outcome

Publisher Acta Neurologica Scandinavica – originally John Wiley & sons, now Wiley-Blackwell

Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and neuropsychological outcome following subarachnoid haemorrhage Morris, P. G. , Wilson, J. T. L. , Dunn, L. T. & Nicoll, J. A. R. 2004 In : Acta neurologica Scandinavica. 109, 3, p. 205-9. 5 p.

Publisher website <http://dx.doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0404.2003.00206.x>

Keywords: Subarachnoid haemorrhage; SAH; stroke; Apolipoprotein E; APOE;
outcome; Fisher Grade

Paul Graham Morris
University of Edinburgh

J.T. Lindsay Wilson
Department of Psychology, University of Stirling

Laurence T. Dunn
Department of Neurosurgery, University of Glasgow

James A.R. Nicoll
Division of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Southampton

Correspondence to:
Paul Graham Morris, Clinical and Health Psychology, University of Edinburgh, , Edinburgh,
EH8 9AG, Scotland
e-mail p.g.morris@ed.ac.uk

Introduction

Several studies have suggested that polymorphism of the Apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) gene influences recovery from brain injury, with the $\epsilon 4$ allele associated with poorer outcome. Nicoll and colleagues first reported altered neuropathological findings amongst $\epsilon 4$ patients who suffered fatal head injury (1). Other studies of outcome following head injury have indicated poorer recovery amongst those with the $\epsilon 4$ allele. The first prospective clinical study reported that $\epsilon 4$ patients were more than twice as likely to have a poor outcome as determined by the Glasgow Outcome Scale (2). Poorer outcome in $\epsilon 4$ survivors of head injury has also been reported amongst brain injury patients who have participated in rehabilitation programmes (3, 4).

These findings have been reflected by studies of outcome following intracerebral haemorrhage, in which patients with $\epsilon 4$ alleles were more likely to die or to have a poor outcome if they survived than were non- $\epsilon 4$ patients (5, 6). However this apparently deleterious effect of the $\epsilon 4$ allele has not been reported in outcome studies of ischaemic stroke (6, 7), suggesting that the effect may be influenced by the type of brain insult.

Recent studies of the association between the apolipoprotein E gene and outcome following subarachnoid haemorrhage have reported conflicting findings. Two studies, one prospective and the other retrospective, have found significantly poorer outcome in patients with the $\epsilon 4$ allele (8, 9), whilst a prospective study involving 100 SAH patients found no association between *APOE* genotype and outcome (10). However these studies have been limited by the use of a relatively simple categorisation of death or disability as the sole measure of outcome.

The current study reports the results of a detailed neuropsychological assessment of outcome in 70 patients derived from a consecutive series of neurosurgical admissions with suspected SAH. A comparison of the current study with previous studies that have reported an association between the $\epsilon 4$ allele and outcome following brain injury is given in Table 1.

<Insert Table 1 around here>

(2-9)

Material and Methods

Patients: From a consecutive series of 125 neurosurgical admissions with suspected SAH, 19 died as a consequence of the haemorrhage, 12 did not have SAH confirmed by CT or lumbar puncture, one was excluded due to a previously clipped aneurysm and nine did not consent to the earlier part of the study (10). The remaining 84 patients were contacted by letter and asked to participate in the neuropsychological assessment. Of these patients, no contact could be established with seven, six either declined to participate or repeatedly did not attend arranged appointments and one lived too far from the unit to travel. The remaining 70 patients participated in the neuropsychological assessment either in their own homes or at the neurosurgical unit depending on their preference. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to the assessment.

Clinical indices for the 70 participating patients and the 14 non-participants are outlined in Table 2. There were no significant differences between participants and non-participants. Of those who participated in the neuropsychological assessment (N = 70, 43 females), the mean age was 45.2 years (SD 15.2) with a range from 25 to 74 years. Mean years of education was 12.21 (SD 2.1) ranging from 9 to 19 years. Mean time between initial admission to the neurosurgical unit and neuropsychological assessment was 16.3 months (SD 2.1) with a range from 14 to 23 months. The majority (80%) of patients were married or in a stable cohabiting relationship.

<Insert Table 2 around here>

APOE genotypes were determined using polymerase chain reaction as described previously (10, 11). All assessments and scoring were conducted blind to genotype.

Neuropsychological Assessment

The neuropsychological interview involved the assessment of cognitive performance, including measures of visual and verbal memory, information processing, attention and executive functioning. The extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS) was completed as part of a semistructured interview. Questionnaire measures of emotional and functional outcome were given and explained to patients to be returned by post. An overview of the assessment employed is given in Table 3.

<Insert Table 3 around here>

(12-24)

There were no notable differences between $\epsilon 4$ and non- $\epsilon 4$ patients in terms of educational level or social class. There was a slight difference in mean age at assessment ($\epsilon 4$ 52.1 vs non- $\epsilon 4$ 48.2) which was not statistically significant. In order to control for any possible influences of this slight age difference, the relationship between the *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele (present or absent) and cognitive task performance was determined using analysis of covariance, with age at assessment entered as co-variate. The relationship between the $\epsilon 4$ allele and measures of emotional and functional outcome was determined using non-parametric Mann-Whitney tests.

Results

The *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele was present in 16 (23%) of the 70 patients who participated in assessments at 14 months. There were no significant differences between $\epsilon 4$ and non- $\epsilon 4$ patients in terms of aneurysmal origin ($\epsilon 4$ 88% vs non- $\epsilon 4$ 81%), anterior communicating artery aneurysm ($\epsilon 4$ 25% vs non- $\epsilon 4$ 28%), or admission World Federation of Neurological Surgeons (WFNS) grade (grade I: $\epsilon 4$ 63% vs. non- $\epsilon 4$ 70%). A Fisher Grade of 4 was more common amongst $\epsilon 4$ patients, with six (38%) of sixteen $\epsilon 4$ patients having a Fisher Grade 4 relative to fourteen (26%) of fifty-four non- $\epsilon 4$ patients, though this difference did not reach statistical significance. None of the sixteen $\epsilon 4$ patients had an intraparenchymal haematoma, whereas haematoma was present in ten of the non- $\epsilon 4$ patients. The Fisher Grade 4 $\epsilon 4$ patients all had intraventricular haemorrhages.

< Insert Table 4 around here >

As shown in Table 4, there were no consistent associations between presence of the $\epsilon 4$ allele and performance on the neuropsychological measures. The WAIS comprehension task difference was the only difference to be statistically significant at the $p < 0.05$ level, with this difference in the opposite direction to that expected and no longer significant after Bonferroni correction. Possession of the $\epsilon 4$ allele was not associated with the presence of anxiety or depression amongst patients and there were no differences in outcome assessed using the GOS or SF-36. There was also no association between presence of the $\epsilon 4$ allele and change in GOS between assessments at 6 & 16 months.

There was a suggestion of an interaction between the $\epsilon 4$ allele and Fisher Grade. Only one (17%) of the six $\epsilon 4$ Fisher 4 patients improved on GOS, relative to 6 (43%) of 14 non- $\epsilon 4$ Fisher 4 patients. At the 16 month assessment four (67%) of the six $\epsilon 4$ Fisher 4 patients remained severely disabled relative to five (36%) of fourteen non- $\epsilon 4$ Fisher 4 patients. By contrast amongst patients with Fisher Grades 1-3, none of the ten $\epsilon 4$, and only two of the forty non- $\epsilon 4$ patients were severely disabled at 16 months. There was also a non-significant trend for the $\epsilon 4$ Fisher 4 patients to perform more poorly than non- $\epsilon 4$ Fisher 4 patients on verbal memory tasks such as logical memory and verbal paired associates (Table 5).

<Insert Table 5 around here >

Discussion

Previous studies investigating the association between *APOE* genotype and recovery from stroke have used relatively crude indices of outcome, but have generally found poorer outcome amongst $\epsilon 4$ ICH patients, with little if any effect of the $\epsilon 4$ allele upon outcome following ischaemic stroke (5-7). Additionally, evidence suggests that the $\epsilon 4$ allele has an independent rather than a synergistic influence upon the risk of cognitive decline or dementia following stroke (25). Recent studies have reported conflicting findings in relation to the association between $\epsilon 4$ and outcome following SAH (8-10).

The current study reports findings from a detailed neuropsychological assessment of SAH survivors which did not identify an association between the $\epsilon 4$ allele and outcome amongst patients in whom haemorrhage was confined to the subarachnoid space (Fisher Grades 1-3). There were however suggestions of an effect of the $\epsilon 4$ allele upon outcome and verbal memory amongst Fisher Grade 4 patients, in whom haemorrhage extended into the ventricles or brain parenchyma. These Fisher 4 patients might be viewed as having more in common with intracerebral haemorrhage patients than do the lower Fisher Grade patients and thus it might be expected that they would be more likely to demonstrate an effect of the $\epsilon 4$ allele.

It is possible that the $\epsilon 4$ patients were disposed towards greater severity of haemorrhage, which resulted in a greater proportion of them having a Fisher Grade 4. Evidence from the acute stage of this patient cohort suggested that $\epsilon 4$ patients may be less susceptible to vasospasm but more susceptible to re-haemorrhage (10). This would be consistent with findings of an association between $\epsilon 4$ and recovery from ICH but not ischaemic stroke (5-7).

The suggestions of greater initial haemorrhage and risk of re-haemorrhage amongst $\epsilon 4$ patients are also consistent with emerging evidence suggesting that $\epsilon 4$ individuals may have deficient blood clotting mechanisms and larger haematoma volumes (26, 27).

Alternatively it is possible that greater secondary damage occurs amongst Fisher 4 $\epsilon 4$ patients following subarachnoid haemorrhage. Previous studies have demonstrated that the cytotoxic effects of amyloid β -protein ($A\beta$) may be mediated by oxidative damage (28, 29). $A\beta$ deposits are more likely to be present in the vessels of *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriers and may increase oxidative damage following haemorrhage, particularly amongst Fisher 4 patients in whom extravascular blood is present in the parenchyma or ventricles. However the current findings indicate that the $\epsilon 4$ allele is not an important factor in the neuropsychological outcome of those who survive the initial haemorrhage when all patients are considered as a group. Earlier findings of an association between the $\epsilon 4$ allele and outcome were limited by their use of a relatively simple scale of death / disability as their sole outcome measure and as such may reflect an association with survival rather than functional outcome following SAH.

In summary, the current study does not find an overall association between the *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ allele and neuropsychological outcome following SAH. However, the study raises the possibility that the presence of $\epsilon 4$ may be associated with outcome in a subgroup with Fisher Grade 4.

Acknowledgements

PGM and JARN were funded in part by the Medical Research Council. With thanks to Janice Stewart for determining the *APOE* genotypes, Elaine Stewart for facilitating data collection and to Graham Teasdale.

References:

1. Nicoll JA, Roberts GW, Graham DI. Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele is associated with deposition of amyloid beta-protein following head injury. *Nat Med* 1995;**1**(2):135-7.
2. Teasdale GM, Nicoll JAR, Murray G, Fiddes M. Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism with outcome after head injury. *Lancet* 1997;**350**(9084):1069-1071.
3. Lichtman SW, Seliger G, Tycko B, Marder K. Apolipoprotein E and functional recovery from brain injury following postacute rehabilitation. *Neurology* 2000;**55**(10):1536-9.
4. Friedman G, Froom P, Sazbon L, Grinblatt I, Shochina M, Tsenter J, et al. Apolipoprotein E-epsilon4 genotype predicts a poor outcome in survivors of traumatic brain injury. *Neurology* 1999;**52**(2):244-8.
5. Alberts MJ, Graffagnino C, McClenny C, DeLong D, Strittmatter W, Saunders AM, et al. ApoE genotype and survival from intracerebral haemorrhage [letter]. *Lancet* 1995;**346**(8974):575.
6. McCarron MO, Muir KW, Weir CJ, Dyker AG, Bone I, Nicoll JA, et al. The apolipoprotein E epsilon4 allele and outcome in cerebrovascular disease. *Stroke* 1998;**29**(9):1882-7.
7. Catto AJ, McCormack LJ, Mansfield MW, Carter AM, Bamford JM, Robinson P, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in cerebrovascular disease. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2000;**101**(6):399-404.
8. Leung CH, Poon WS, Yu LM, Wong GK, Ng HK. Apolipoprotein e genotype and outcome in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Stroke* 2002;**33**(2):548-52.
9. Niskakangas T, Ohman J, Niemela M, Ilveskoski E, Kunnas TA, Karhunen PJ. Association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism with outcome after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a preliminary study. *Stroke* 2001;**32**(5):1181-4.
10. Dunn LT, Stewart E, Murray GD, Nicoll JA, Teasdale GM. The influence of apolipoprotein E genotype on outcome after spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage: a preliminary study. *Neurosurgery* 2001;**48**(5):1006-10; discussion 1010-1.
11. Wenham PR, Price WH, Blandell G. Apolipoprotein E genotyping by one-stage PCR. *Lancet* 1991;**337**(8750):1158-9.
12. Wechsler D. WAIS-R Manual. New York: The Psychological Corporation; 1981.
13. Wilson JT, Pettigrew LE, Teasdale GM. Structured interviews for the Glasgow Outcome Scale and the extended Glasgow Outcome Scale: guidelines for their use. *J Neurotrauma* 1998;**15**(8):573-85.
14. Jenkinson C, Layte R, Wright L, Coulter A. The U.K. SF-36: An analysis and interpretation manual. Oxford: University of Oxford; 1996.
15. Gronwall DM. Paced auditory serial-addition task: a measure of recovery from concussion. *Percept Mot Skills* 1977;**44**(2):367-73.
16. Nelson HE, Willison JR. The revised National Adult Reading Test - Test Manual. Windsor, Berks: NFER-NELSON; 1991.
17. Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983;**67**(6):361-70.
18. McKenna P, Warrington EK. Graded Naming Test: NFER-Nelson; 1983.
19. Spielberger C, D. State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (Form Y). California: Mind Garden; 1983.
20. Wechsler D. Wechsler Memory Scale - Revised Manual. New York: The Psychological Corporation; 1987.

21. Beck A, T., Steer R, A. Beck Depression Inventory Manual. New York: The Psychological Corporation; 1987.
22. Goldberg D, Williams P. A Users Guide to the General Health Questionnaire. Windsor: NFER-Nelson; 1991.
23. Burgess PW, Shallice T. The Hayling and Brixton Tests - Manual. Bury St Edmunds: Thames Valley Test Company; 1997.
24. Golden CJ. Stroop Color and Word Test. Illinois: Stoelting; 1978.
25. Dik MG, Deeg DJ, Bouter LM, Corder EH, Kok A, Jonker C. Stroke and apolipoprotein E epsilon4 are independent risk factors for cognitive decline: A population-based study. *Stroke* 2000;**31(10)**:2431-6.
26. Weir CJ, McCarron MO, Muir KW, Dyker AG, Bone I, Lees KR, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype, coagulation, and survival following acute stroke. *Neurology* 2001;**57(6)**:1097-100.
27. Liaquat I, Dunn LT, Nicoll JA, Teasdale G, Norrie JD. Effect of apolipoprotein E genotype on haematoma volume after trauma. *Journal of Neurosurgery* 2002;**96**:90-96.
28. Davis JB. Oxidative mechanisms in beta-amyloid cytotoxicity. *Neurodegeneration* 1996;**5(4)**:441-4.
29. Behl C. Amyloid beta-protein toxicity and oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Tissue Res* 1997;**290(3)**:471-80.

Table 1. Studies comparing APOE genotype with outcome following brain injury

Study	N	Patient Group	Outcome Measures
Teasdale G. et al (2)	89 *	Head Injury	GOS
Lichtman (3)	31	Head Injury	Telephone FIM
Friedman (4)	69	Head Injury	Clinician determined outcomes
Alberts M J (5)	44 *	ICH	Bartel index
McCarron et al (6)	69 *	ICH	Return Home vs In care or Death
Catto et al (7)	592 *	Acute stroke	Mortality
Leung et al (8)	72 *	SAH	GOS
Niskakangas et al (9)	108 *	SAH	GOS
Current Study	70	SAH	15 Neuropsychological tasks, 4 Emotional outcome measures, Extended GOS

GOS: Glasgow Outcome Scale; FIM: Functional Independence Measure; ICH: Intracerebral Haemorrhage;

SAH: Subarachnoid Haemorrhage

*: Includes deceased patients

Table 2: Clinical Variables. Number of cases (with percentage in parentheses)

	Participants	Non- Participants		Participants	Non- Participants
Fisher Grade 0	1 (1.4)	0	WFNS Grade I	47 (67.1)	10 (71.4)
Fisher Grade I	6 (8.6)	2 (14.3)	WFNS Grade II	12 (17.1)	2 (14.3)
Fisher Grade II	6 (8.6)	0	WFNS Grade III	2 (2.9)	0
Fisher Grade III	37 (52.9)	6 (42.9)	WFNS Grade IV	3 (4.3)	0
Fisher Grade IV	20 (28.5)	6 (42.9)	WFNS Grade V	6 (8.6)	2 (14.3)
No Clinical Deterioration	50 (71.4)	10 (71.4)	Aneurysmal SAH	56 (80.0)	12 (85.7)
Deteriorated Once	7 (10.0)	2 (14.3)	Negative Angio †	12 (17.1)	2 (14.3)
More than Once	13 (18.6)	2 (14.3)			
Surgical Intervention	54 (77.1)	12 (85.7)	Haematoma	10 (14.3)	2 (14.3)
No Surgery	16 (22.9)	2 (14.3)	No Haematoma	60 (85.7)	12 (85.7)

† : No angio performed for two of the participating patients

Table 3: Neuropsychological Assessment Battery

Cognitive Tasks	Global Outcome
Digit Span - WAIS-R (12)	Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (13)
Comprehension - WAIS-R (12)	UK Short Form-36 Health Survey (SF-36) (14)
Block Design - WAIS-R (12)	
Digit-Symbol - WAIS-R (12)	
PASAT (2 and 4 second interval) (15)	Emotional Outcome
National Adult Reading Test (16)	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (17)
McKenna Graded Naming Test (18)	State Trait Anxiety Inventory (19)
Logical Memory (20)	Beck Depression Inventory (21)
Verbal Paired Associates (20)	General Health Questionnaire (22)
Figural Memory WMS-R (20)	
Brixton Spatial Anticipation Test (23)	
Verbal Fluency 'F' 'A' 'S'	
Rey-Osterreith Complex Figure	
Trail Making A & B	
Stroop Test: (24)	

Table 4: APOE ε4 allele and Cognitive Performance

	ε4 allele present		No ε4 allele		F	p
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
Digit Span	15.47	3.85	15.39	4.43	0.64 (1,65)	0.429
Comprehension	19.93	3.94	18.22	6.07	4.68 (1,65)	0.034 *
Block Design	22.00	9.74	22.56	9.59	0.98 (1,66)	0.326
Digit Symbol	40.20	11.43	45.15	12.78	0.01 (1,63)	0.946
PASAT 4 seconds	47.54	14.32	46.80	13.97	0.69 (1,55)	0.411
PASAT 2 seconds	30.08	9.78	32.31	11.93	0.01 (1,47)	0.940
GNT	20.07	5.66	19.81	5.60	0.94 (1,65)	0.337
Logical Memory	22.93	7.71	23.56	8.40	0.31 (1,65)	0.579
Delayed Logical Memory	17.43	11.03	18.83	8.82	0.11 (1,63)	0.741
Paired Associates	15.36	5.21	16.00	4.75	0.04 (1,61)	0.845
Delayed Associates	5.43	2.77	6.38	1.58	1.02 (1,58)	0.318
Figural Memory	6.54	1.94	6.69	1.68	0.65 (1,60)	0.424
Brixton Spatial †	22.36	6.42	19.54	8.66	0.22 (1,60)	0.642
Verbal Fluency FAS	31.53	13.58	33.36	15.05	0.38 (1,64)	0.542
Rey Copy	27.72	6.19	29.39	5.41	0.02 (1,62)	0.893
Rey Immed Recall	11.47	9.62	14.45	7.49	0.12 (1,61)	0.727
Trailmaking A †	54.93	33.31	42.28	19.22	1.39 (1,64)	0.242
Trailmaking B †	95.31	30.24	87.59	38.77	0.14 (1,58)	0.709
Stroop Interference	0.97	6.51	-0.74	6.54	1.14 (1,56)	0.291

Analysis of covariance with age at assessment as co-variate

† Denotes task in which higher score represents worse performance; * $p < 0.05$

Table 5: Mean Verbal Memory Performance in Fisher 4 Patients by $\epsilon 4$ allele

(Standard deviation in parentheses)

Verbal memory Task	$\epsilon 4$ (n=6)	Non- $\epsilon 4$ (n=14)
Logical Memory	15.8 (5.9)	20.8 (8.6)
Delayed Logical Memory	6.0 (6.7)	16.2 (9.1)
Verbal Paired Associates	9.3 (3.3)	14.9 (4.8)
Delayed Verbal Paired Associates	2.0 (1.2)	6.1 (1.5)